UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MEGAN PERKINS on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY, d/b/a THE NEW YORK TIMES,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-05202-PKC

Hon. P. Kevin Castel

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

WHEREAS, a class action is pending before the Court entitled *Perkins v. The New York Times Company d/b/a The New York Times*, No. 1:22-cv-05202-PKC; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Megan Perkins ("Plaintiff") and Defendant The New York Times Company ("Defendant") (together, the "Parties") have entered into a class action Settlement Agreement and Release, which, together with the exhibits attached thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement and dismissal of the Action with prejudice as to Defendant upon the terms and conditions set forth therein (the "Settlement Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, on October 1, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, conditionally certifying a Class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) of: "[a]ll New York Times digital, print, and ancillary subscription consumers who used a North Carolina billing and delivery zip code and were directly billed and automatically renewed by NYT from June 21, 2018, to and through June 2, 2023"; and

WHEREAS, the Court has considered the Parties' Class Action Settlement Agreement, as well as Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff's Motion for

Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses, And Incentive Award, together with all exhibits thereto, the arguments and authorities presented by the Parties and their counsel at the Final Approval Hearing held on January 21, 2025, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECREED, AND ADJUDGED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Terms and phrases in this Final Order and Judgment shall have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Parties' Settlement Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.
- 3. The notice provided to the Settlement Class pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and order granting Preliminary Approval including (i) direct notice to the Settlement Class via email and U.S. mail, based on the comprehensive Settlement Class List provided by Defendant, and (ii) the creation of the Settlement Website fully complied with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and due process, was reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, their right to object to or to exclude themselves from the Settlement Agreement, and their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 4. The Court finds that Defendant properly and timely notified the appropriate government officials of the Settlement Agreement, pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715. The Court has reviewed the substance of Defendant's notice and finds that it complied with all applicable requirements of CAFA. Further, more than ninety (90) days have elapsed since Defendant provided notice pursuant to CAFA and the Final Approval Hearing.
- 5. This Court now gives final approval to the Settlement Agreement, and finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement

Class. The settlement consideration provided under the Settlement Agreement constitutes fair value given in exchange for the release of the Released Claims against the Released Parties. The Court finds that the consideration to be paid to members of the Settlement Class is reasonable, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members, considering the total value of their claims compared to (i) the disputed factual and legal circumstances of the Action, (ii) affirmative defenses asserted in the Action, and (iii) the potential risks and likelihood of success of pursuing litigation on the merits. The complex legal and factual posture of this case, the amount of discovery completed, and the fact that the Settlement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between the Parties support this finding. The Court finds that these facts, in addition to the Court's observations throughout the litigation, demonstrate that there was no collusion present in the reaching of the Settlement Agreement, implicit or otherwise.

- 6. The Court has specifically considered the factors relevant to class action settlement approval. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e); see also City of Detroit v. Grinnell Corp., 495 F.2d 448 (2d Cir. 1974).
- 7. The Court finds that the Class Representative and Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purposes of litigating this matter and entering into and implementing the Settlement Agreement.
 - 8. Accordingly, the Settlement is hereby finally approved in all respects.
- 9. The Parties are hereby directed to implement the Settlement Agreement according to its terms and provisions. The Settlement Agreement is hereby incorporated into this Final Judgment in full and shall have the full force of an Order of this Court.
- 10. This Court hereby dismisses the Action, as identified in the Settlement Agreement, on the merits and with prejudice.

Upon the Effective Date of this Final Judgment, Plaintiff and each and every 11. Settlement Class Member who did not timely opt out of the Settlement Class and all of their respective present or past heirs, executors, estates, administrators, predecessors, successors, assigns, parent companies, subsidiaries, associates, affiliates, employers, employees, agents, consultants, independent contractors, insurers, directors, managing directors, officers, partners, principals, members, attorneys, accountants, financial and other advisors, underwriters, shareholders, lenders, auditors, investment advisors, legal representatives, successors in interest, assigns and companies, firms, trusts, and corporations shall be deemed to have released Defendant, as well as any and all of its respective present or past heirs, executors, estates, administrators, predecessors, successors, assigns, parent companies, subsidiaries, licensors, licensees, associates, affiliates, employers, agents, consultants, independent contractors, insurers, and customers, including without limitation employees of the foregoing, directors, managing directors, officers, partners, principals, members, attorneys, accountants, financial and other advisors, underwriters, shareholders, lenders, auditors, investment advisors, legal representatives, successors in interest, assigns and companies, firms, trusts, and corporations from any and all any and all causes of action or claims for relief, whether in law or equity, including but not limited to injunctive relief, actual damages, nominal damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, exemplary or multiplied damages, restitution, disgorgement, expenses, attorneys' fees and costs, and/or any other form of consideration whatsoever (including "Unknown Claims" as defined below), whether in law or in equity, accrued or unaccrued, direct, individual or representative, of every nature and description whatsoever, that were brought or could have been brought in the Action relating to any and all Releasing Parties, any NYT Subscription associated with any of them, or that in any way relate to or arise out of Defendant's automatic renewal and/or continuous service programs in North

Carolina from June 21, 2018 to date of entry of judgment in this action, including but not limited to any of the facts, transactions, events, matters, occurrences, acts, disclosures, statements, representations, omissions or failures to act related thereto.

- 12. Upon the Effective Date of this Final Judgment, the above release of claims and the Settlement Agreement will be binding on, and will have res judicata and preclusive effect on, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of Plaintiff and all other Settlement Class Members and Releasing Parties. All Settlement Class Members are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating (as class members or otherwise) in any lawsuit or other action in any jurisdiction based on or arising out of any of the Released Claims.
- 13. The Court has also considered Plaintiff's Motion For Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses, And Incentive Awards, as well as the supporting memorandum of law and declarations, 22,500 and adjudges that the payment of attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$91,666.67 is reasonable in light of the multi-factor test used to evaluate fee awards in the Second Circuit. See Goldberger v. Integrated Resources, Inc., 209 F.3d 43, 50 (2d Cir. 2000). This award includes Class Counsel's unreimbursed litigation costs and expenses. Such payment shall be made pursuant to and in the manner provided by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. The Court has also considered Plaintiff's Motion, memorandum of law, and supporting declarations for an incentive award to the Class Representative, Megan Perkins. The Court adjudges that the payment of incentive award fa the amount of \$10,000 to Ms. Perkins to a not warranted and creates incentives that compensate her for her efforts and commitment on behalf of the Settlement Class is fair, rule distortion the role of class representative. reasonable, and justified under the circumstances of this case. Such payment shall be made pursuant to and in the manner provided by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 15. All payments made to Settlement Class Members pursuant to the Settlement Agreement that are not cashed within one hundred eighty (180) days of issuance shall be donated as cy pres to North Carolina Legal Aid. Except as otherwise set forth in this Order, the Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.
- 16. The Parties, without further approval from the Court, are hereby permitted to agree and adopt such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Settlement Agreement and its implementing documents (including all exhibits to the Settlement Agreement) so long as they are consistent in all material respects with this Final Judgment and do not limit the rights of Settlement Class Members.
- 17. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment for purposes of appeal, until the Effective Date the Court shall retain jurisdiction over all matters relating to administration, consummation, enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement.
- This Court hereby directs entry of this Final Judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of 18. Civil Procedure 58 based upon the Court's finding that there is no just reason for delay of enforcement or appeal of this Final Judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 2/ day of

The Honorable P. Kevin Castel United States District Court Judge